

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,872	12/21/2005		Nancy Hathaway	21309YP	6359
210 MERCK AND		28/2007		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2000				KAROL, JODY LYNN	
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1617	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/539,872	HATHAWAY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jody L. Karol	1617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr ute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed Tom the mailing date of this communication. The property of the communication of the communication.				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u>	June 2005.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ccepted or b) Objected to by the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1. Antiparkinson agents (i.e. doxepin, pergolide, or levodopa).
- 2. A second antiparkinson agent. (a selective cox-zuhib lor)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The applicant must identify a single anticholinergic agent, a single dopaminergic agent, a single monoamine oxidase agent, or amantadine as the first elected species, and a single second agent as the second elected species. The election of anticholinergic agent, dopaminergic agent, or monoamine oxidase agent in general is not sufficient. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 2-3 - amantadine

Claim 4 - benzotropine, biperidan, procyclidine, trihexphenidyl

Claim 5 - diphenhydramine, orphenadrine

Claim 6 – amitriptyline, doxepin, imipramine, nortriptyline

Claim 8 – levodopa, bromocriptine, pergolide, pramipexole, cabergoline, or ropinerole

Claim 9 - selegiline

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 7, 10-20.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the different species of antiparkinson agents are known in the art. Several of these compounds are listed in Shapiro et al. (US 5,688,117) as treatment for Parkinson's disease, such as amitryptyline, levodopa, or orphenadrine (see Example 1 at column 28).

Furthermore, the antiparkinson agents are independent or distinct because claims to different species recite mutually exclusive characteristics of the species (i.e. an antihistamine compared to a monoamine oxidase agent). In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of

search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Inventorship Notice

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jody L. Karol whose telephone number is (571) 270-3283. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm Mon-Fri EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLK

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER